

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC
Attorneys at Law

65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ
07068-1791



Tel 973.597.2500
Fax 973.597.2400
www.lowenstein.com

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 18 2004

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

FROM: **Daniel D. Sierchio, No. 53,591**
Re: U.S. Patent No. 09/764,640

Date **October 18, 2004**

TEL: **973.597.2500** FAX: **973.597.2400** E-MAIL: **dsierchio@lowenstein.com**

TO: **Mail Stop: RCE**
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

FACSIMILE NO.: **703-872-9306**
VOICE NO.:

Items:

- (1) Request For Continued Examination Transmittal (1 sheet);**
- (2) Copy of Request For Continued Examination Transmittal (1 sheet)**
- (3) Submission Under 37 C.F.R. § 1.133 (3 sheets);**
- (4) Interview Summary (4 sheets); and**
- (5) This fax cover (1 sheet).**

TOTAL PAGES (WITH COVER) **10**


CLIENT ID/MATTER #: **15884-44**

If you have any trouble with this transmittal, please call 973.597.6202

This facsimile contains Privileged and Confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, dissemination of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone and return the original facsimile to the above address. We will reimburse you for all expenses incurred. Thank You.

15884/44
10/18/2004 1620714.01

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Fax No. 703-872-9306 on October 18, 2004.



Camille Payne
IP Assistant to Alexander R. Pagano

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
OCT 18 2004

In re Application of
Amatucci

Serial No.: 09/764,640

Filing Date: January 18, 2001

For: CARBON FABRIC SUPERCAPACITOR
STRUCTURE

Attorney Docket No. 1380-US (15884-44)

Examiner: A. Dexter Tugbang

Art Unit: 3729

Mail Stop AF
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUMMARY OF EXAMINER INTERVIEW CONDUCTED SEPTEMBER 22, 2004,
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 1.133

SIR:

In response the telephonic Examiner Interview conducted on September 22, 2004, Applicant provides this summary pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.133 and MPEP § 713.04. Alexander R. Pagano, Esq. and Examiner A. Dexter Tugbang were present on the call. A copy of the Interview Summary prepared by the Examiner is attached hereto for reference.

15884/44
10/18/2004 1620547.01

I. Brief Description Of Exhibits And Demonstration

No models or articles were presented.

II. Claims Discussed

Independent claim 9 was discussed.

III. The Prior Art Discussed

The prior art of record was discussed.

IV. Principle Proposed Amendments Of A Substantive Nature Discussed, Unless They Are Already Described On The Interview Summary Form Complete By The Examiner

The principle proposed amendments discussed are those set forth in Applicant's Office Action Reply filed September 23, 2004.

V. Brief Identification Of The General Thrust Of The Principal Arguments Presented To The Examiner

The principle arguments presented by Applicant during the interview was that the claim element "activated carbon" was not taught or suggested in the prior art.

VI. General Indication Of Any Other Pertinent Matters Discussed

A general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed are set forth in the corresponding Examiner Interview Summary.


VII. If Appropriate, The General Results Or Outcome Of The Interview Unless Already Described In The Interview Summary Form Completed By The Examiner

The results or outcome of the Examiner Interview are already described in the corresponding Examiner Interview Summary.

VIII. Conclusion

No fee is required for entry of this Interview Summary. If any fee is due, however, please charge the required fee to deposit account number 501358.

Respectfully submitted,



Alexander R. Pagano, Esq.
Reg. No. 44,994
Attorney for Applicant

October 18, 2004

LOWENSTEIN SANDLER PC
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ 07068
Tel.: 973-597-6202



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

15884-LK/

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/764,640	01/18/2001	Glenn G. Amarucci	1380-US	8661

7590 09/28/2004

DOCKET ADMINISTRATOR

Lowenstein Sandler PC
65 Livingston Avenue
Roseland, NJ 07068

EXAMINER

TUGBANG, ANTHONY D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3729

DATE MAILED: 09/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/764,840	AMATUCCI, GLENN G.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	A. Dexter Tugbang	3729	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) A. Dexter Tugbang, Examiner. (3) _____

(2) Alex Pagano, for Applicant(s). (4) _____

Date of Interview: 22 September 2004.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: 9.


Identification of prior art discussed: of record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


A. DEXTER TUGBANG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Summary of Record of Interview Requirements

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP), Section 713.04, Substance of Interview Must be Made of Record

A complete written statement as to the substance of any face-to-face, video conference, or telephone interview with regard to an application must be made of record in the application whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

Title 37 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) § 1.133 Interviews Paragraph (b)

In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111, 1.135. (38 U.S.C. 132)

37 CFR §1.2 Business to be transacted in writing.

All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete an Interview Summary Form for each interview held where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, or pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below. Where the substance of an interview is completely recorded in an Examiners Amendment, no separate Interview Summary Record is required.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate Paper No., placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" section of the file wrapper. In a personal interview, a duplicate of the Form is given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephone or video-conference interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication. If additional correspondence from the examiner is not likely before an allowance or if other circumstances dictate, the Form should be mailed promptly after the interview rather than with the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number (Series Code and Serial Number)
- Name of applicant
- Name of examiner
- Date of interview
- Type of interview (telephonic, video-conference, or personal)
- Name of participant(s) (applicant, attorney or agent, examiner, other PTO personnel, etc.)
- An indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted
- An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). Note: Agreement as to allowability is tentative and does not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.
- The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview (if Form is not an attachment to a signed Office action)

It is desirable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his or her obligation to record the substance of the interview of each case. It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form will not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview.

A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:

- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of the specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the Examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner.
(The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he or she feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner.)
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete and accurate, the examiner will give the applicant an extendable one month time period to correct the record.

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth the examiner's version of the statement attributed to him or her. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication, "Interview Record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413)

Application No. 09/764,640

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant(s) discussed differences between their invention and the prior art, particularly noting that the prior art does not teach the feature of an "activated carbon fabric". The examiner maintained the reasons as set forth in the Final Rejection (dated 6/17/04) as to how the prior art met the limitations of an "activated carbon fabric". However, the applicant(s) suggested limitations to be added to Claim 9, which would appear to overcome the prior art and not raise any new issues. The examiner suggested to the applicant(s) to formally submit the amendment for consideration.